



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,762	01/21/2005	Volker Lohweg	W1.1914 PCT-US	2612

7590 04/22/2009
Douglas R Hanscom
Jones Tullar & Cooper
PO Box 2266
Eads Station
Arlington, VA 22202

EXAMINER

YEH, EUENG NAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2624

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/22/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/521,762	Applicant(s) LOHWEG ET AL.	
	Examiner EUENG-NAN YEH	Art Unit 2624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) EUENG-NAN YEH. (3) DOUGLAS R. HANSCOM (REG. # 26600).

(2) WENPENG CHEN (PRIMARY). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 April 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Juang (US 5,999,636); Swain et al. (International Journal of Computer Vision 7:1, 11-32, 1991).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim 15 was discussed. Specific features of the invention may be added to overcome the pending art rejections and further search is needed to determine the patentability. The USC 101 hardware and transformation requirement for method claim has also been discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Eueng-nan Yeh/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624	/Wenpeng Chen/ Primary Examiner AU 2624 4/20/09
--	--